

Activities	What is good	What is bad	What is missing
Inter sectoral collaboration of stakeholders	-National IDMT	-Lack of national MOU on TCC's results in some provincial departments resisting development, implementation, roll out and management of TCC's	-National MOU binding all departments on the TCC project -This MOU must filter down to all provinces (This will give guidance to and in some instances bind provincial departments to implement the project)
	Indaba – recommendations flowing from it	-No clarity on 'status' of Indaba and to where the recommendations will go i.e. the mandate of the Indaba?	-Mandating process
	-Existing GJF	-What is needed is a more focused plan for GJF for GBV issues	-No consistent reps from each dept. -No senior representation at forum or rep with a mandate. -Not enough civil society reps. -Sub committee from forum specific for TCC's (working group committee).
	-Good local structure (case flow management meeting)	-No or little communication between VEP structures and GJF. -No dissemination of information or communication from prov. govt. to local level also.	-Intersectoral collaboration at local level. -Gender based violence agenda on case flow management meetings (need to look at NGO participation at this forum). -from Within govt. dept's to local level.

			-Strengthened communication GJF and VEP structures to reach wide number of NGO.
	-Draft TCC protocols for Manenberg TCC	-SLA's for TCC	-Provincial sign off for both
	-Audit for health facilities, court, and CSC's	-Finalized Report not available yet.	-Prioritized sites to be taken to province for confirmation
	-Identified a site previously George	-Nothing done in terms of roll out	-Resources and funding not available
		.	
<b>Effective, comprehensive and sensitized service delivery (incl. Accessibility)</b>	-Existence of specialized services SOC, designated health personnel, FCS officers and TCC. -TCC a good model. -Detectives at crime office to identify cases easier than officer at CSC and then refer to FCS. -Structure of FCS is strong in the province.	-Watering down of these services. SOC- other matters on the roll. FCS- possibility of decentralization. -Capacity problem in SAPS cannot give effect to this as efficiently as should.	-Dedicated engagement with judiciary re dedicated courts for sexual offences. -Strong front line police in terms of dealing with cases at CSC's as well as NGO's feeding into those CSC's. -Strategy for this needed in the province.
	-Referral mechanisms in place @ TCC and Simelela strong in those areas.	-No DSD rep at TCC meetings -Access to DSD and NGO psycho- social support services are lacking in most areas.	-Looking at client's holistic well being.
	-Uniform standards exist across departments.		-Although uniform standards exist still different standards are practiced.

			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-Absence of existing monitoring of implementation of services.</li> <li>-GJF role to oversee monitoring</li> </ul>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-Wealth of existing expertise for capacity building (training).</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-Lack of training in rural areas.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-Who are the specialists who can provide training in order to provide effective services to victims</li> <li>-Lack of training, especially in rural areas.</li> <li>-Lack of social context in training (focus is procedural)</li> </ul>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-There are outreach programs for various departments.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-Out reach programs are insufficient.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-Stronger out reach programs on GBV necessary.</li> </ul>
<b>Resources and capacity (incl Infrastructure)</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-Specialization is recognized in the province</li> </ul>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-Lack of resources in terms of specialized services</li> </ul>
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-No roll out for George.</li> <li>-Lack of prioritization of rural areas for TCC roll out.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-Lack of funding for George</li> </ul>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-We have donor funding</li> <li>-Government already funding TCC's.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-Government funding not recognized</li> <li>-Sustainability of resources (funding for setting up, other departments needed for sustainability).</li> <li>-Commitment from departments at National level but need funding from province, specific to budgets (since budgets have been allocated already)</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-Ongoing responsibility on departments in relation to TCC rollout. (Must be a part of their budget).</li> </ul>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-Simelela a good</li> </ul>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-How do we utilize and</li> </ul>

	resource		support a resource like Simelela
	-Data collection at TCC in terms of case manager database		-Standardized data information system regarding GBV for province. - standardized M&E system

PROVINCE: Western Cape Provincial Champion:						
Owner:						
Objective: To ensure Inter-sectoral collaboration of stakeholders	Indicator/target	Data source	Frequency of data collection	Outcome	Responsible person	Date
National MOU binding all departments on the TCC project -This MOU must filter down to all provinces (This will give guidance to and in some instances bind provincial departments to implement the project)	National MOU signed (via recommendation from the Indaba).	IDMT		Buy in from all levels of departments	Athalia (will consult NPA to take it forward with IDMT)	Priority
Resultant provincial MOU required between depts..	Provincial MOU signed	Gender Justice Forum		Buy in	Lizelle Africa (NPA) via GJF	August 2009
Resultant SLA's and Protocols for TCCs Provincial sign off for both	SLA between govt depts. And depts. And ngos.	Co-ordinators of dept. programmes.		Improved co-ordination of services	Lizelle Africa.	End July 2009
Indaba Mandating process - recommendations from meeting to be taken up by the IDMT.	Recommendations taken forward at IDMT level.	Western Cape Indaba reps. (GJF)	every second month	Implementation of recommendations	Bronwyn Pithey?	Ongoing

<p>Revisit TOR for GJF and consolidate action plan confirm these with Dev Comm.</p>	<p>consistent and senior representation from each dept</p> <p>Improved civil society reps.</p> <p>GBV on case flow management meetings (CFMM) agenda</p> <p>NGO representation at CFMM to improve intersectoral collaboration at this level.</p> <p>Strengthened communication GJF and VEP structures to reach wide number of NGO</p>					
<p>Establish sub-committee from forum specific for TCC's (working group committee).</p>	<p>Sub committee established</p>				<p>LA</p>	<p>Next GJF end June</p>
<p>Presentation of the Audit report Prioritized sites by the province (GJF &amp; Dev Comm.)</p>	<p>Confirmation of sites in the province</p>				<p>Consultant/N PA</p>	<p>End July</p>
<p><b>Objective: Objective: Ensure effective, comprehensive and sensitized service delivery to victims of sexual abuse through integrated response mechanism(multi</b></p>						

disciplinary services)						
Advocate for dedicated/ designated Services for GBV in the province: -SOCs -FCS -TCCs -Health -DSD	Dedicated services				GJF	Ongoing
Identify sites for TCCs Identify 5 areas & prioritise 2 (consider 1 urban/1 rural) 1. Southern Cape (George Area) 2. West Coast (Vredendal) 3. Metro (Karl Bremer/Atlantis) 4. Boland/Overberg (Worcester/Hermanus/ Ceres) 5. Winelands (Paarl)					GJF	End July
Rollout of TCC's:					GJF sub-committee for TCC	March 2010
Strengthen coordination with other multi-disciplinary sites (Simelela; Helderberg; Matla abana)					GJF sub-committee for TCC	From June ongoing
Advocate for training and enhancing uniform branch police for sensitive reception of cases and referral to dedicated/desg. Services					GJF	Ongoing
Provide training for service providers in TCC areas including rural areas. (Include social context in training)					NPA co-ordinate	March 2010
Advocate for psycho-social support and care to (link to other Forums – VEP) (decide on creating a sub-committee for this)					GJF	Ongoing
Provide public education and					GJF sub-comm.	

communication on services to the public in TCC areas.					Coordinate	
<b>Objective: Ensure effective Monitoring and Evaluation of Sexual Offences</b>						
Develop plan for monitoring of implementation of services using Minimum standards. Look at existing tools for services in general and TCCs. Pilot M&E tools in one identified area					GJF	Feb 2010
<b>Objective: To Operate at optimal capacity (resources)</b>						
-Lack of resources in terms of specialized services						
1. Develop Resource plan						
2. Establish capacity requirements						
3. Draft project plans per activity and determine budgetary requirements						
4. Develop indicators to monitor resource allocations and plan						

**Stakeholders:**

**Facilitator:**

**Scribe:**